IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
Civil Application No. 2988 of 2004
in
Writ Petition No 7054 of 2004

Security Guards Board....applicant
vs
Mah Suraksha Rakshak
Mahasangh and ors....Respondents

Mr.M.S.Topkar for petitioner
Mr.Susheel Mahadeshwar for respondent no.l
Mr.V.D.Sugadhare for respondent nos. 2 and 3

CORAM: A.P.SHAH & S.C DHARMADHIKARI JJ.

Dated l4.l2.2004

P.C:

l. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant (original respondent no.l) submitted that a separate Board exists for Raigad district and that Board alone has jurisdiction and authority over the security guards and employers situated within Raigad district. The learned counsel submitted that this could not be brought to the notice of this court as the Board was under bonafide belief that the applicant Board had jurisdiction to enrol employees working with respondent no.2.He submitted that therefore the order dated 7.l0.2004 will have to be set aside and fresh order will have to be passed after showing the appropriate Board as party respondent in place of applicant Board.

2. In the light of the above by consent order dated 7.l0.2004 is set aside. Petition is restored to file. Leave to replace Raigad Security Guards Board,Raigad as respondent in place of respondent no.l. Issue notice to added respondent returnable on l7.l.2005.

Civil Application stands disposed of.